First Filing Company: UnitedHealthcare of New England, Inc. (Rhode State Tracking Number:

Island), ...

Company Tracking Number:

TOI: H15G Group Health - Hospital/Surgical/Medical Sub-TOI: H15G.001 Any Size Group

Expense

Product Name: RI Small and Large Group Rate Filings

Project Name/Number: /

Filing at a Glance

Companies: UnitedHealthcare of New England, Inc. (Rhode Island), UnitedHealthcare Insurance Company Product Name: RI Small and Large Group Rate SERFF Tr Num: UHLC-126632226 State: Rhode Island

Filings

TOI: H15G Group Health - SERFF Status: Assigned State Tr Num:

Hospital/Surgical/Medical Expense

Sub-TOI: H15G.001 Any Size Group Co Tr Num: State Status:

Filing Type: Rate Reviewer(s): Charles DeWeese,

John Cogan

Implementation Date:

Author: Elvira Tananykin Disposition Date:

Date Submitted: 05/17/2010 Disposition Status:

Implementation Date Requested: On Approval

State Filing Description:

General Information

Project Name: Status of Filing in Domicile:
Project Number: Date Approved in Domicile:
Requested Filing Mode: Domicile Status Comments:

Explanation for Combination/Other: Market Type: Group

Submission Type: New Submission Group Market Size: Small and Large

Overall Rate Impact: Group Market Type: Employer

Filing Status Changed: 05/18/2010 Explanation for Other Group Market Type:

State Status Changed:

Deemer Date: Created By: Elvira Tananykin

Submitted By: Elvira Tananykin Corresponding Filing Tracking Number:

Filing Description:

The following documents are included in the filing:

- Rate factor template for large group and small employer groups.
- Small group rate manual.
- Completed Provider Plan Contracting Survey.
- Completed Resources for Health Systems Improvement Survey.

First Filing Company: UnitedHealthcare of New England, Inc. (Rhode State Tracking Number:

Island), ...

Company Tracking Number:

TOI: H15G Group Health - Hospital/Surgical/Medical Sub-TOI: H15G.001 Any Size Group

Expense

Product Name: RI Small and Large Group Rate Filings

Project Name/Number:

Completed Administrative Costs Survey.

- Explanation of medical trend development process.

Company and Contact

Filing Contact Information

Elvira Tanaykin, Assistant Pricing Director Elvira_Tananykin@uhc.com
48 Monroe Turnpike 203-459-6424 [Phone]
Trumbull, CT 06611 203-459-3242 [FAX]

Filing Company Information

UnitedHealthcare of New England, Inc. (Rhode CoCode: 94149 State of Domicile: Rhode Island

Island)

475 Kilvert St., Suite 310 Group Code: -99 Company Type: HMO Warwick, RI 02886-1392 Group Name: State ID Number:

(952) 992-4793 ext. [Phone] FEIN Number: 05-0413469

UnitedHealthcare Insurance Company CoCode: 79413 State of Domicile: Connecticut
450 Columbus Boulevard Group Code: 707 Company Type: Life and Health

PO Box 150450 Group Name: State ID Number:

Hartford, CT 06115-0450 FEIN Number: 36-2739571

(860) 702-5000 ext. [Phone]

Filing Fees

Fee Required? Yes
Fee Amount: \$100.00

Retaliatory? No

Fee Explanation: \$40 for UHCNE and \$60 for UHIC

Per Company: Yes

COMPANY AMOUNT DATE PROCESSED TRANSACTION #

UnitedHealthcare of New England, Inc. (Rhode \$40.00 05/17/2010 36600329

Island)

First Filing Company: UnitedHealthcare of New England, Inc. (Rhode State Tracking Number:

Island), ...

Company Tracking Number:

TOI: H15G Group Health - Hospital/Surgical/Medical Sub-TOI: H15G.001 Any Size Group

Expense

Product Name: RI Small and Large Group Rate Filings

Project Name/Number: /

UnitedHealthcare Insurance Company \$60.00 05/17/2010 36600330

First Filing Company: UnitedHealthcare of New England, Inc. (Rhode State Tracking Number:

Island), ...

Company Tracking Number:

TOI: H15G Group Health - Hospital/Surgical/Medical Sub-TOI: H15G.001 Any Size Group

Expense

Product Name: RI Small and Large Group Rate Filings

Project Name/Number:

Supporting Document Schedules

Item Status: Status

Date:

Bypassed - Item: Actuarial Certification - Life & A&H

Bypass Reason: na

Comments:

Item Status: Status

Date:

Satisfied - Item: Actuarial Memorandum - A&H Rate

Revision Filing

Comments:

The Actuarial Memorandum describes the analysis done in support of the small employer rates to be charged effective January 1 2011 and how the factors contained in small employer template will be used in the rating.

Attachment:

RI Small Group Actuarial Memorandum.pdf

Item Status: Status

Date:

Bypassed - Item: A&H Experience

Bypass Reason: na

Comments:

Item Status: Status

Date:

Bypassed - Item: Exhibits - A&H

Bypass Reason: na

Comments:

Item Status: Status

Date:

First Filing Company: UnitedHealthcare of New England, Inc. (Rhode State Tracking Number:

Island), ...

Company Tracking Number:

TOI: H15G Group Health - Hospital/Surgical/Medical Sub-TOI: H15G.001 Any Size Group

Expense

Product Name: RI Small and Large Group Rate Filings

Project Name/Number:

Satisfied - Item: Premium Rate Sheets - Life & A&H

Comments:

Rate Filing Templates and SG rate manual are attached under this section.

Attachments:

1-1-2011 RI SG Rate Manual.pdf

2011-01 RI SG and LG Rate Filing Templates.pdf

Item Status: Status

Date:

Bypassed - Item: Health Insurance Checklist

Bypass Reason: na

Comments:

Item Status: Status

Date:

Satisfied - Item: Cover letter and other supporting

documents

Comments:

- Cover letter
- Explanation of medical trend development process.
- Completed Provider Plan Contracting Survey.
- Completed Resources for Health Systems Improvement Survey.
- Completed Administrative Costs Survey.

Attachments:

Cover Letter-RI January 1, 2011 SG Rate Filing.pdf

Description Trend Development.pdf

RIRateFiling_2011_Admin Costs_FINAL.pdf

UnitedHealthcare Health System Improvement Survey 5 17 2010.pdf

UnitedHealthcare Provider Contracting Practices.pdf

UnitedHealthcare of New England, Inc. and United HealthCare Insurance Company Small Group Trend Filing – January 1, 2011 Actuarial Memorandum

This Actuarial Memorandum describes the analysis done in support of the small employer rates to be charged effective January 1, 2011 and how the factors contained in small employer template will be used in the rating.

The following items identify the elements of the rates that we are changing since our last update.

We have used United's standard Small Business Base Rate Review process. This process compares current manual premium rates to the needed revenue. It is an automated and standardized process used across all legacy UHC markets to test the adequacy of the current manual rates and to determine if a pricing adjustment to manual rates is required. Pages 3 and 4 of the Small Group Rate Manual provide an exhibit and the description of the Small Group Base Rate Review methodology. Using this process, we determined that the January 1, 2011 base rates for Small Group need to be increased by 7.3%, however we are recommending 4%. The rates for all subsequent months will be trended by 1/12 of the 2011 proposed 11.8% medical and 10.5% pharmacy pricing trends reflected on the Small Group template. An explanation of the trend development support trend template is included in this filing.

Retention, for Small Groups, has been updated to reflect the expenses for United HealthCare Insurance Company separate from United HealthCare of New England. Attachment 2 of the Small Group Rate Manual illustrates the revision and the components of trend that are administrative expenses, profit and commissions specifically for Small Group. Our target loss ratio is 17.3%, however our expected loss ratio with the base rate increase that we are proposing is 14.6% (displayed on the template).

UnitedHealthcare of New England and United HealthCare Insurance Company

Rhode Island Small Business Rating Manual

Updated For 1/1/2011 and Later Rating Periods

UnitedHealthcare of New England and United HealthCare Insurance Company

Rhode Island Small Business Rating Manual

Table of Contents

Section	Description	PAGE(S)
	Title Page	_
	Table of Contents	2
	Base Rate Review Documentation	ω
Attachment 1	Base Rate Review Exhibit	4
Attachment 2	Retention	51
Exhibit A	Medical and Pharmacy Base Rates Development - Jan 1, 2011	6
Rate Formula	Rating Methodology Steps 1 through 9	7-8
Example 1	Rating Example	9-11
Exhibit 1	Market Rate (Start Rate) & Trend Factors - Medical and Rx	12
Exhibit 2	Medical Plan Factors	13-14
Exhibit 3	Rx Plan Factors	15
Exhibit 4	Age Adjustment Factors	16
Exhibit 5	Healthnact Rates	17

UnitedHealthcare of New England and United HealthCare Insurance Company

Base Rate Review Process Overview:

manual rates is required process used across all legacy UHC markets to test the adequacy of the current manual rates and determine if pricing adjustment to Base Rate Review is a process that compares current manual premium rates to the needed revenue. It is automated and standardized

manual rates in the market for the same age/gender, geographic, and benefit plan mix underlying the starting point claim experience Since the data provides a direct mapping of membership to benefit plans, the average plan relativity adjustment in the BRR manual rate build is possible. The Base Rate Reviews start with actual net claim pmpms in a market, apply projected trend, and compare that result to the current

Rhode Island Small Business 1-50 2009Q4 Base Rate Review (Attachment 1)

Needed Revenue PMPM Development

experience period available. A capitation payment is added in to account for the capitated arrangements and other cost associated trends (11.8%medical/10.5%pharmacy). 2011 projected actual trends are provided and described in the RI Small Group Trend with affiliated agreements. Then it was trended forward to the projection period starting January 1, 2011, using 24 months of actual Rhode Island Small Business 1-50 actual net medical and pharmacy claim pmpms data was pulled for the most recent CY 2009 Template. Needed Revenue PMPM is then calculated by adjusting projected claims pmpm by appropriate retention load (Attachment

Current Premium PMPM Calculation

Rhode Island Small Business 1-50 current manual rates are calculated for the January 1, 2011 effective date, using the most current rate manual on file. Then, manual rates are adjusted by age/gender and benefit plan mix underlying the starting point claim experience.

Supportable Pricing Adjustment

Current premium pmpm is compared to the needed revenue pmpm for the projection period starting January 1, 2011. The indication is that 7.3% pricing adjustment is needed. We are proposing a 4% base rate increase.

2009Q4 Rate Review Small Business 1-50 Rhode Island

Attachment 1

Experience 2009Q1 - 2009Q4	HMO/HMP/POS
Member Months	217,945
Average Mbrs	18,162
Net Claims Medical PMPM*	\$218.83
Net Claims Pharmacy PMPM	\$40.75
Total Claim Cost	\$259.58
 Site Specific Cat Claim Level 	(\$9.41)
+ Expected Cat Claim Level	\$19.75
Subtotal	\$269.92
X Annual Trend Forecast (for 24 months)**	11.6%
Claim Cost Subtotal	\$336.17
/ Target BCR	82.7%
Needed Revenue PMPM 1/1/2011 eff	\$406.50
Current Manual Rate	
Medical Base Rate 7/1/2010	\$386.86
X Average Med Plan Rel	0.7246
X Medical Pricing Trend	1.048
Subtotal: Medical	\$293.72
Pharmacy Base Rate	\$108.90
X Average Rx Plan Rel	0.5432
X Rx Pricing Trend	1.048
Subtotal: Pharmacy	\$61.99
Subtotal = Medical + Pharmacy	\$355.71
X Age/Sex Factors	1.0648
Current Undisc. Premium PMPM 1/1/2011 eff	\$378.76

4.0%

7.3%

Recommended Action

Supportable Pricing Adjustment

^{*}Capitation payments for capitated arrangements, and other cost associated with affiliated agreements i.e. OPTUM SERVICES **Claims are projected using end of the experience period to the end of the projection period method, using 24 months of actual trends (11.8%medical/10.5%pharmacy)

UnitedHealthcare of New England and United HealthCare Insurance Company

Attachment 2 RETENTION

Administrative Expenses Commissions Investment Income Credit Profit before taxes Premium Tax Estimated Federal Tax	United HealthCare of New England 12.0% 3.5% -1.6% 2.0% 0.4%	United HealthCare Insurance Company 5.7% 3.5% -1.2% 9.3% 2.0%
Administrative Expenses	12.0%	5.7%
Commissions	3.5%	3.5%
Investment Income Credit	-1.6%	-1.2%
Profit before taxes	3.4%	9.3%
Premium Tax	2.0%	2.0%
Estimated Federal Tax	0.4%	2.3%
Profit after taxes	1.0%	5.0%
Total Retention	17.30%	17.30%

UnitedHealthcare of New England and United HealthCare Insurance Company Medical and Pharmacy Base Rates Development - January 1, 2011

Current Manual Rate	HMO/HMP	POS/EPO	Ш
Medical Base Rate-7-1-10	\$381.19	\$388.99	L
Pharmacy Base Rate-7-1-10	\$108.41	\$109.08	
Retention Adjusted Rates*			
Medical Base Rate-7-1-10	\$378.42	\$386.17	
Pharmacy Base Rate-7-1-10	\$107.62	\$108.29	
Currently on file forward Trend - Medical 9.9% Annual	1.048	1.048	
Currently on file forward Trend - Pharmacy 9.9% Annual	1.048	1.048	
Based Rate Adjusment Med and Pharmacy	1.040	1.040	
Projected Medical Base Rate-1-1-2011	\$412.58	\$421.03	
Projected Pharmacy Base Rate-1-1-2011	\$117.34	\$118.06	Ш

^{*}Rate are adjusted based on the new Retention Schedule

UnitedhealthCare of New England and United HealthCare Insurance Company

Rhode Island SMALL BUSINESS MANUAL RATE CALCULATION METHODOLOGY

Step 1. Market Rate (i.e. Start Rate or Base Rate)

Start base rate based on the product for Medical and Rx from Exhibit 1

Step 2. Trended Market Rate

Depending on the effective date of the group, take the product of the market rate from the Step 1 and multiply by the trend factor from Exhibit 1

Step 3. Health Coverage Plan Rate

Depending on the medical and pharmacy plans, choose the appropriate medical and pharmacy plan factors from Exhibits 2 and 3

Take the product of the trended medical market rate from step 2 and medical plan factor. Take the product of the trended pharmacy market rate from step 2 and pharmacy plan factor

The Health coverage Plan Rate is the sum of these two products.

Please note, for H.S.A plans, plan factors only apply to medical market rate

Step 4. Age Adjustment

Depending on the case characteristics of the group, choose the appropriate age factors from Exhibit 4 Age/Sex=Sum of the age sex factors of all the members devided by the number of the members note-exception are children in excess of 3 per family

Medicare Eligible Customers for which Medicare is Prime

- In the case when employee is Medicare eligible for which Medicare is primary, but spouse and children are not, the spouse and children would be included into the age/sex calculation with the rest of the active population and age/sex factor for Medicare Prime employee would be calculated separately for medical portion of the rate.
- In the case when spouse is Medicare eligible for which Medicare is primary, but employee and children are not, the employee and children would be included into the age/sex calculation with the rest of the active population and age/sex factor for Medicare Prime spouse would be calculated separately for medical portion of the rate.
- 3. In the case when child(ren) are Medicare eligible for which Medicare is primary, but employee and spouse are not, the employee and spouse would be included into the age/sex calculation with the rest of the active population and age/sex factor for Medicare Prime child(ren) would be calculated separately for medical portion of the rate.
- 4. Average age/sex factor would be calculated for the whole group for the pharmacy portion of the rate

Dual/Multi Option Customers

Combined Census are used for the average age/sex calculation for the dual/multi option customers

Step 5. Test for compliance with the Mandated 4:1 Rate Band

United stays in compliance with the Rhode Island 4:1 rate band by subjecting each group to the following restriction on the rates:

Illustrative base Premium Composite A/S component	Minimum \$100 0.64	Maximum \$100 2.15
	\$64.0	\$215.0
Test (Maximum/Minimum)		3.36

These restrictions ensure that the pmpm premium for any group on a like benefit plan with the same effective date in never more than 4 times greater than any other group with those benefits and effective dates.

UnitedhealthCare of New England and United HealthCare Insurance Company

Rhode Island SMALL BUSINESS MANUAL RATE CALCULATION METHODOLOGY

Minimum age sex factor does not fall below 0.64 and maximum age sex factor does not exceed 2.15

United does not use area, industry or duration in determining the premium level for small groups in Rhode Island.

Step 6. Calculate Family Composition Rates

Conversion factor converts Member basis rates to employee basis rates and creates the

single employee rate.

Rate Ratios are used to calculate the employee plus spouse rate, the employee plus child rate, and family rate.

Member basis rate = Health coverage Plan Rate (from Step 3) * Age Factor (from Step 4)

Please note, Age Factor is always tested for compliance first (Step 5)

Conversion factor = Product of Subscribers * RR's/by the total # of members

The following Rate Ratios are used:
Rate Ratio

FESE 2.15 2.05 2.75

Single Rate = Member Basis Rate * Conversion Factor Rate for other Tiers = Single Rate * Rate Ratio

Step 7. Group Premium Rate

subscribers in each tier Group Premium Rate = Sum of the Rates for each tier multiplied by the corresponding number of

Numerical Rating Example for a Typical Group

Group Information
New Group Effective 1/1/2011
Medical Plan 3RA
Pharmacy Plan 2V (\$10/\$35/\$60, 2.5x MOD)

Age Band	Male Emp	Female Emp	Male Sp	Female Sp	Child
< 25	0.328	0.752	0.328	0.752	0.524
25-29	0.409	0.983	0.409	0.983	0.524
30-34	0.521	1.143	0.521	1.143	0.524
35-39	0.668	1.139	0.668	1.139	0.524
40-44	0.828	1.170	0.828	1.170	0.524
45-49	1.059	1.378	1.059	1.378	0.524
50-54	1.452	1.665	1.452	1.665	0.524
55-59	1.890	1.966	1.890	1.966	0.524
60-64	2.559	2.335	2.559	2.335	0.524
65+ Group Plan Primary	3.437	2.799	3.437	2.799	0.524
65+ Medicare Primary	1.252	1.252	1.252	1.252	0.524

Minimum Age Factor (for rule) Maximum Age Factor (for rule)	0.640 2.150				
Age Band	Male Emp	Female Emp	Male Sp	Female Sp	Child
< 25	1				
25-29				_	
30-34					
35-39	_	_			2
40-44		_			2
45-49		_	_		_
50-54		_	_		
55-59					
60-64					
65+ Group Plan Primary					
65+ Medicare Primary					

Age Sex factor =

0.8901

Numerical Rating Example for a Typical Group

Group Information
New Group Effective 1/1/2011
Medical Plan 3RA
Pharmacy Plan 2V (\$10/\$35/\$60, 2.5x MOD)

	January 1, 2011	13
Step 1. Market Rate		
1.1 Medical Market Rate	\$412.58	
1.2 Pharmacy Market Rate	\$118.06	
Step 2. Trended Market Rate		
2.1 Medical Trend	1.00	
2.2 Pharmacy Trend	1.00	
2.3 Trended Medical Rate	\$412.58	\$412.58 -> 2.3 =1.1 * 2.1
2.4 Trended Rx Rate	\$118.06	\$118.06 -> 2.4 = 1.2 * 2.2
Step 3. Plan Factors and Health Coverage Plan Rate	rage Plan Rate	
3.1 'Medical Plan Factor	0 9487	

Step 4. Mandates and Health Coverage Plan Rate

4.3 Health Coverage Plan Rate	4.2 Medical Rate	4.1 Riders/Mandates
\$453.75 -	\$391.42	1.000
->4.3=4.2+3.4	->4.2=3.3*4.1	

Step 5. Age Sex Adjustment - Group Average

Age Sex Factor

0.890

Step 6. Test for compliance with the Mandated 4:1 Rate Band To ensure a 4 to 1 ratio of the two above average age sex factor, if the factor is less than 0.64, it is adjusted to a 0.64. If the factor is greater than 2.15 it is adjusted to 2.15. Otherwise it is left untouched. Note 2.15/0.64 = 3.36				
4:1 Rate Band age sex factor, if ctor is greater thank. Note 2.15/0.6	it is adjusted to 2.15. Otherwise it is left untouched	is less than 0.64, it is adjusted to a 0.64. If the fac-	To ensure a 4 to 1 ratio of the two above average	Step 6. Test for compliance with the Mandated
	ouched. Note 2.15/0.6	the factor is greater tha	erage age sex factor, if	dated 4:1 Rate Band

0.890 Between 0.64 and 2.15

Numerical Rating Example for a Typical Group

Group Information
New Group Effective 1/1/2011
Medical Plan 3RA Pharmacy Plan 2V (\$10/\$35/\$60, 2.5x MOD)

Step 7. Calculate Family Composition Rates

Member basis rate = Health coverage Plan Rate (from Step 4) * Age Factor (from Step 6)

Member basis rate = \$453.75 * 0.890 = \$403.91

2	F 2 7	EC 1 3	ES 1 2	EE 2 2	Subdillelik sone
7 05	2.75	2.05	2.15	_	Kate Katio
447	5.5	2.05	2.15	2	S'XX'S

Conversion factor = Product of Subscribers * RR's/by the total # of members = 14/11.7=

Single Rate = Member basis rate (PMPM) * Conversion factor = Employee/Spouse = Single Rate * 2.15 = Employee/Children = Single Rate * 2.05 = \$403.91 *1.197 = \$483.31 \$1,039.11 \$990.78 \$1,329.09

Step 9. Group Premium

Family = Single Rate * 2.75 =

GR 654 60	ת	\$3,842,29	
\$2,658.	2	\$1,329.09	П
\$990.78	_	\$990.78	EC
\$1,039.1	_	\$1,039.11	ES
\$966.61	2	\$483.31	EE
Total Premiu	Subs	Rates	

UnitedHealthcare of New England and United HealthCare Insurance Company

Rhode Island Small Business Rating Manual

Exhibit 1 - Market Rate & Trend Factors

Market Rate

START RATES - January 1, 2011		
	Medical	Rx Start
Medical Product	Start Rate	Rate
	PMPM	PMPM
POS	\$421.03	\$118.06
EPO	\$421.03	\$118.06
HMO	\$412.58	\$117.34
HMP	\$412.58	\$118.06

Trending Factors

EFFECTIVE DATE ADJUSTMENTS (STMENTS (TREND	ND)			HealthPact Trend	Trend
	EPO/POS	EPO/POS	HMP Chc+	HMPChc+	HMO Chc	HMO Chc
Effective Date	Med	₽ X	Med	Rx	Med	R
Jan-11	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Feb-11	1.009	1.008	1.009	1.008	1.005	1.005
Mar-11	1.019	1.017	1.019	1.017	1.011	1.011
Apr-11	1.028	1.025	1.028	1.025	1.016	1.016
May-11	1.038	1.034	1.038	1.034	1.021	1.021
Jun-11	1.048	1.042	1.048	1.042	1.027	1.027
Jul-11	1.057	1.051	1.057	1.051	1.032	1.032
Aug-11	1.067	1.060	1.067	1.060	1.037	1.037
Sep-11	1.077	1.069	1.077	1.069	1.043	1.043
Oct-11	1.087	1.078	1.087	1.078	1.048	1.048
Nov-11	1.097	1.087	1.097	1.087	1.054	1.054
Dec-11	1.108	1.096	1.108	1.096	1.059	1.059
Annualized Trend Rate	11.8%	10.5%	11.8%	10.5%	6.5%	6.5%

Exhibit 2 - Medical Plan Factors

POS Choice +	Available NB	Medical Relativity	2007 COC	2007 COC-'POS Choice +	Available for NB
ANA	z	0.7499	7AS	HSA plan	~
ANB	z	0.6932	7AT	HSA plan	~
ANC	z	0.6485	7AU	HSA plan	~
AND	z	0.5815	1AY	HSA plan	~
EAA	z	0.7168	2AP	HSA plan	~
EAB	z	0.6660	2RA	HSA plan	~
EAC	z	0.6084	U1G	HSA plan	~
EAD	z	0.7297	1AV	HSA plan	~
	z	0.6256	7AA	Lean plan	~
	z	0.8989	7AB	Lean plan	~
0.700	z	0.7293	7AC	Lean plan	~
	z	0.7486	7AD	Lean plan	~
	z	0.6067	7AE	Lean plan	≺ ·
	5 Z	0.6641	7AF	Lean plan	~
	z	0.5450	7AG	Lean plan	~
HDH HSA plan	5 Z	0.5355	7AP	Lean plan	~
	z	0.6090	7AQ	Lean plan	~
	5 Z	0.5138	7AR	Lean plan	~
HDK HSA plan	5 Z	0.5030	7AK	Consumer Plan	~
	5 Z	0.5206	7AL	Consumer Plan	~
	5 Z	0.5086	7AM	Consumer Plan	~
	z	0.8218	7AV	Consumer Plan	~
	z	0.6632	7AW	Consumer Plan	~
	Z	0.8631	7AX	Consumer Plan	~
	Z	0.6997	1RN	Consumer Plan	~
	Z	0.7081	1RO	Consumer Plan	~
	. z	0.5794	1RA	Consumer Plan	~
TIC PUSHSA		0.55/4	188	Consumer Plan	~
T A	z	0.7499	7VA	Value Plan	~
מ מ	. z	0.6932	AN BAY	Value Plan	~
J-C	z	0.6485	7VC	Value Plan	~
J-D	z	0.5815	7/0	Value Plan	~
i F	z	0.8732	7VE	Value Plan	~
THE STATE OF THE S	z	0.8177	7VF	Value Plan	~
LIA Lean plan	5 Z	0.6500	7VG	Value Plan	~
LIB Lean plan	5 z	0.5950	HVZ	Value Plan	~
LIC Lean plan	5 z	0.5731	1RC		~
LID Lean plan	5 Z	0.5426	1RD		~
LIE Lean plan	5 Z	0.5206	1RE		~
LIF Lean plan	5 Z	0.6903	1RF		~
LIG Lean plan	5 Z	0.6678	1RG		~
LIH Lean plan	5 Z	0.6291	1RH		~
LII Lean plan	5 Z	0.6203	1R		~
_lJ Lean plan	5 Z	0.7014	1RJ		~
LIK Lean plan	5 Z	0.7428	1RK		~
LIL Lean plan	5 Z	0.5957	1RL		~
RTA POSHRA	Z	0.9055	1RM		~
RTB POSHRA	Z	0.7631	1RP		~
		00045			

Page 14 of 17

UnitedHealthcare of New England and United HealthCare Insurance Company Rhode Island Small Business Rating Manual

HMP-CHOICE PLUS RIA RIB RIC	USW USW USW USW USY USZ WHA WHB WHC WHD WHH WHH WHH WHH WHH WHH WHH WHH WHH	Exhibit 2 - Medical Plan Factors POS Choice + USA USB USC USC USC USC USC USC USC
zzzzzzzzzzz	zzz zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz	Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z
0.9574 0.8732 0.9340 0.9173 0.7216 0.7771 0.7230 0.6534 0.6622 0.8177 0.7587	0.476 0.558 0.4125 0.5183 0.4013 0.4011 0.5111 0.5111 0.9574 0.9340 0.9173 0.7771 0.7230 0.6534 0.7483 0.6534 0.7483 0.6572 0.8177	Available Medical NB Relativity N 0.8108 N 0.7803 N 0.7370 N 0.7387 N 0.5815 N 0.6822 N 0.6549 N 0.6549 N 0.6521 N 0.65221 N 0.6523 N 0.5221 N 0.5213 N 0.5283 N 0.5883 N 0.5883 N 0.5883 N 0.5883 N 0.5883 N 0.5883
2007 - HMP - CHOICE PLUS 3RA 3RB 3RC 3RC 3RD 3RE 3RF 3RF 3RF 3RF 3RR 3RI 3RI 3RJ 3RL	2007 COC - HMO - CHOICE 38Y Wellness 38Z Basic 38X WellnessNew	2007 COC.*POS Choice + G88F Edge 2.0 G88G Edge 2.0 G8H Edge 2.0 G8H Edge 2.0 G8H HRA 1RV HRA 1RV HRA 1RW HRA 1RW HRA 1RW HRA 1RR HRA 1RR HRA 1RR HRA 2RG 2RF EPO - Insurance CHOICE EPO - Testification of the control
~~~~~~~~~~~	< < z	Available for NB
0.9487 0.9001 0.8643 0.9260 0.9099 0.7635 0.7072 0.6388 0.7375 0.6498 0.8088 0.7523	0.7041 0.7041 0.7041	Medical Relativity 0.6234 0.5989 0.5401 0.5881 0.7276 0.6110 0.6597 0.5435 0.7596 0.6217 0.8683 0.9178

CONFIDENTIAL

## Exhibit 3 - Rx Plan Factors

CG CF	CE CC	5V 5W 0I AT AU CO CP New 2007	2007 COO BK BK BK BL BN 4F 5S 5S 5S 5S 6N AQ AR AR AR 6N 6N 6N 76	2 8 7 7	2001 COC 23 81 90 96 82 G4 H9	Rx Plan Rela
\$15/45/85/200; 3.0x for MOD; \$2500 OOPM \$250 Ded; \$15/45/80/160; 3.0x for MOD \$15/45/85/200; 3.0x for MOD \$15/35/70; 2.5x for MOD \$50 Ded; \$15/35/70; 2.5x for MOD	\$10/30/50/0 w/ \$60/40/30 defined contirb; 3.0x for MOD; \$5000 OOPM \$10/30/60/0 w/ \$60/40/30 defined contrib; 3.0x for MOD; \$2500 OOPM \$10/30/60/0 w/ \$60/40/30 defined contrib; 3.0x for MOD; \$5000 OOPM	5U \$10/35/60/100; 2.5x for MOD 5V \$100 Ded, \$10/35/60/100; 2.5x for MOD 5W \$250 Ded, \$10/35/60/100; 2.5x for MOD 0I \$10/35/70; 2.5x for MOD AT \$100 Ded, \$10/35/70; 2.5x for MOD AU \$250 Ded, \$10/35/70; 2.5x for MOD CO \$10/40/75; 2.0x for MOD CP \$250 Ded, \$10/40/75; 2.0x for MOD CP \$250 Ded, \$10/40/75; 2.0x for MOD CP \$250 Ded, \$10/40/75; 2.0x for MOD	2007 COC effective 10/1/2007  BK \$15(\$30)(\$60, 2.5x MOD BL \$10(\$20)(\$20 or 30%/30%/30% Max=\$150, 2.5x MOD Max=\$300  BM \$10(\$20)(\$20 or 30%/30%/30% Max=\$150, 2.5x MOD Max=\$375  BY \$10(\$20)(\$40 or 30%/30%/30% Max=\$150, 2.5x MOD Max=\$375  BY \$10(30)(\$0)(100; 2.5x for MOD  4F \$10(30)(\$0)(100; 2.5x for MOD  55 \$100 Ded, \$10(30)(\$0)(100; 2.5x for MOD  51 \$250 Ded, \$10(30)(\$0)(100; 2.5x for MOD  6N \$100 Ded, \$10(30)(\$0)(2.5x for MOD  AR \$250 Ded, \$10(30)(\$0); 2.5x for MOD  6N \$100 Ded, \$10(30)(\$0); 2.5x for MOD  6N \$250 Ded, \$10(30)(\$0); 2.5x for MOD  77 \$100 Ded, \$10(30)(\$0); 2.5x for MOD  78 \$10(30)(\$0); 2.5x for MOD  79 \$10(30)(\$0); 2.5x for MOD  79 \$10(30)(\$0); 2.5x for MOD  70 \$10(30)(\$0); 2.5x for MOD  71 \$100 Ded, \$10(30)(\$0); 2.5x for MOD	\$10/25/40, 2.5x MOD \$1725/40, 2.5x MOD \$250 Ded, \$10/\$30/\$50, 2.5x MOD \$10/\$35/\$50, 2.5x MOD	C \$5/\$10/\$25, 2x MOD \$10/\$15/\$30, 2x MOD 10/20/30 2x MOD No Rx \$15/\$25/\$50, 2x MOD \$100 Ded, \$10/\$30/\$50, 2.5x MOD \$10/\$30/\$50, 2.5x MOD	Rx Plan Description
				All other	All other POS (HSA)	Medical Product
<b>~~~ ~ ~ ~</b>	<b>~ ~ ~ ~</b>	<b>***</b>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	~ z z z z .	~zzzzzzz	Available for New Business?
7A2 0110 0110 7A3 7A3 7A3 7A3 7A3 7A3 7A3 7A3 7A3 7A3	7A					ole for w ess?
UIG, IAV, GB: F1; 3R: AL  7A.4.G. KM, PR, VX: 1R.A.P; 7A: S-U; 1AY, 2AP, 2RA UIG, IAV, GB: F1; 3R: AL UIG, IAV; GB: F1; 3R: AL UIG, IAV; GB: F1; 3R: AL  T9H; 2HW  T9H; 2HW	TAMO, MM, PR, VX, IRAP, TA, SU; TAY, 2AP, 2RA, UIG, TAY, GB, F4, 3R. AL UIG, TAY, GB, F4, 3R. AL TAMO, K-M, P-R, VX, IRAP, TA: S-U; TAY, 2AP, 2RA, UIG, TAY, GB, F4, 3R. AL UIG, TAY, GB, F4, 3R. AL	38Z 38Z		7A: S-U: 1AY, 2AP, 2RA, U1G, 1AV	HD:B-Q; HY:A-C; RT-A-C 7A: S-U; 1AY, 2AP, 2RA, U1G, 1AV	Medical Plans
0.347 0.364 0.393 0.4863 0.4407	0.242 0.313 0.237	0.5232 0.4292 0.3408 0.5072 0.4161 0.3303 0.3089 0.3089	0.5440 0.6371 0.6972 0.6111 0.5894 0.4821 0.3828 0.5501 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.4510 0.	0.6634 0.6936 0.3950 0.5280 0.0000	0.9385 0.8001 0.7442 0.0000 0.6111 0.4905 0.5915 0.0000	Factor

## Exhibit 4 - Age Adjustment Factors

### Age Brackets

Age Band	Male Emp	Female Emp	Male Sp	Female Sp	Child
< 25	0.328	0.752	0.328	0.752	0.524
25-29	0.409	0.983	0.409	0.983	0.524
30-34	0.521	1.143	0.521	1.143	0.524
35-39	0.668	1.139	0.668	1.139	0.524
40-44	0.828	1.170	0.828	1.170	0.524
45-49	1.059	1.378	1.059	1.378	0.524
50-54	1.452	1.665	1.452	1.665	0.524
55-59	1.890	1.966	1.890	1.966	0.524
60-64	2.559	2.335	2.559	2.335	0.524
65+ Group Plan Primary	3.437	2.799	3.437	2.799	0.524
65+ Medicare Primary	1.252	1.252	1.252	1.252	0.524

### Notes/Exceptions:

Family or Employee/Child(ren) Contracts with more then 3 children

United does not bill for "excess children" over 3 per subscriber.

### Age/Sex band limits

In order to stay within the overall 4:1 rate band United restricts the age/sex factors to an overall 0.64 to 2.15 band

The combined adjustment factor for age and gender will not exceed 120% of the combined adjustment factor for age and gender for the prior rate year

# **Exhibit 5 - HEALTHpact Plan**

Basic	Advantage	PLAN			
\$30	\$10	PCP			
\$60	\$50	SPEC			
\$200	\$200	ER			
\$5,000	\$750	DED			
80%	100%	COINS			
\$10,000	\$2,750	OOP			
NA.	NA	DED	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000		
NA	NA A	COINS			
NA	NA.	OOP			
10/40/75/D\$250	10/40/75	RX			
\$404.97	\$404.97	Rate	Single	2011	,
1.048	1.048	AgeSex	14.00		
1.183	1.183	,	Conversio		
\$326.74	\$326.74	Rate	PMPM		
\$326.74   \$412.58	\$326.74 \$412.58	Base	Medical		
0.7041	0.7041	Rel	Medical		
1.000	1.000	Med Trend RX Base	Jan 1, 2011		
\$117.34	\$117.34	RX Base			
	0.3089	Rx Rel			
1.000	1.000	Trend	2011 Rx	Jan 1,	

Assumes 90% Advantage/10% Basic participation

⁻ Average AgeSex Factor is based on the Assumed future Subscriber % distribution provided by RI DOI and United AgeSex Factors - Conversion Factor converts PMPM rate to the Single rate

Eff Date	Healthpact Single Rate
1/1/2010	380.26
2/1/2010	382.26
3/1/2010	384.27
4/1/2010	386.29
5/1/2010	388.32
6/1/2010	390.36
7/1/2010	392.41
8/1/2010	394.47
9/1/2010	396.55
10/1/2010	398.64
11/1/2010	400.74
12/1/2010	402.85
1/1/2011	404.97

The rates incorporate an assumption that they will increase for successive renewal months on a 6.5% annualized trend basis

Assumed future Subscriber % distribution provided by RI DOI

FF

FF /

		H		EE/	
	Male	Female	EE / SP	CHILD(RE	Family
< 25					
25 - 29	8.7%	6.1%	0.6%	0.7%	0.9%
30 - 34	3.7%	2.4%	0.6%	0.8%	2.5%
35 - 39	3.5%	2.2%	0.6%	1.0%	4.7%
40 - 44	3.5%	2.6%	0.8%	1.0%	5.8%
45 - 49	3.3%	3.0%	1.3%	0.9%	5.6%
50 - 54	3.1%	3.3%	2.1%	0.5%	3.3%
55 - 59	2.6%	3.5%	2.5%	0.2%	1.3%
60 - 64	2.0%	2.7%	2.0%	0.1%	0.3%
65+MP	0.9%	1.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.1%
65+MS	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%

### UnitedHealthcare of New England, Inc. and United HealthCare Insurance Company Small Group Rate Filing - January 1, 2011

Small Group Rate Filing Template

### Historical Information

**Experience Period for Developing Rates** 1/1/2007 12/31/2009

Utilization/Experience Data by Quarter (Last 12 available quarters)

									Incurred	Incurred			Cost	Other Claim	General				
				Member	Earned	Incurred	Incurred	Incurred Claims	Claims	Claims Other	Incurred	Loss	Containment	Adjustment	Administrative	Investment		Contribution to	_
	Quarter	End Date	IP Davs*	Months	Premium**	Claims Total***	Claims IP	<u>OP</u>	Primary Care	M/S	Claims Rx	Ratio	Expense*	Expense*	Expense*	Income Credit	Commissions	Reserves	Taxes
1	(oldest)	3/31/2007	239	63,612	\$19,407,931	\$15,928,460	\$3,889,110	\$4,695,445	\$813,042	\$3,814,033	\$2,437,720	82%	\$59,042.87	\$393,684.87	\$1,876,223.99	-\$329,934.83	\$873,356.90		\$650,343.39
	2	6/30/2007	260	62,270	\$19,564,728	\$15,815,238	\$3,579,634	\$4,807,527	\$802,598	\$3,811,038	\$2,547,926	81%	\$59,519.88	\$396,865.46	\$1,891,382.04	-\$332,600.38	\$880,412.77	\$879,868.94	\$655,597.52
	3	9/30/2007	239	59,079	\$18,611,044	\$14,706,987	\$3,456,168	\$4,515,777	\$699,508	\$3,296,726	\$2,423,990	79%	\$56,618.58	\$377,520.22	\$1,799,186.47	-\$316,387.75	\$837,496.98	\$836,979.66	
	4	12/31/2007	238	56,030	\$17,953,037	\$14,040,438	\$3,073,427	\$4,452,522	\$691,847	\$3,143,591	\$2,408,920	78%	\$54,616.79	\$364,172.71	\$1,735,574.89	-\$305,201.62	\$807,886.64		\$601,591.10
	5	3/31/2008	249	53,341	\$17,133,136	\$13,694,562	\$3,439,600	\$4,083,767	\$681,629	\$3,024,085	\$2,211,006	80%	\$21,900.55	\$349,533.78	\$1,684,542.02	-\$291,263.32	\$770,991.13	\$398,376.18	\$492,546.91
	6	6/30/2008	242	53,520	\$17,156,534	\$13,930,180	\$3,517,777	\$4,069,181	\$650,024	\$3,209,602	\$2,194,375	81%	\$21,930.46	\$350,011.12	\$1,686,842.53	-\$291,661.08	\$772,044.04	\$398,920.22	\$493,219.56
	7	9/30/2008	230	54,682	\$17,470,246	\$13,475,116	\$2,826,188	\$4,368,406	\$630,877	\$3,104,965	\$2,215,920	77%	\$22,331.46	\$356,411.17	\$1,717,686.89	-\$296,994.18	\$786,161.07	\$406,214.58	\$502,238.21
	8	12/31/2008	168	53,538	\$17,280,886	\$13,033,787	\$2,273,632	\$4,541,057	\$655,822	\$3,006,094	\$2,273,444	75%	\$22,089.41	\$352,548.02	\$1,699,068.85	-\$293,775.06	\$777,639.85	\$401,811.62	\$496,794.44
	9	3/31/2009	221	55,594	\$18,013,109	\$14,087,534	\$3,290,743	\$4,478,690	\$707,017	\$3,084,626	\$2,249,799	78%	\$95,357.15	\$366,463.94	\$1,699,752.05	-\$306,222.86	\$810,589.93	\$180,131.09	\$468,340.85
	10	6/30/2009	197	57,262	\$18,550,627	\$15,103,107	\$3,498,915	\$5,027,763	\$727,558	\$3,286,861	\$2,276,379	81%	\$98,202.64	\$377,399.35	\$1,750,473.25	-\$315,360.66	\$834,778.22	\$185,506.27	\$482,316.30
	11	9/30/2009	195	58,800	\$19,226,522	\$14,719,562	\$3,065,835	\$4,871,966	\$781,669	\$3,359,682	\$2,381,677	77%	\$101,780.67	\$391,149.97	\$1,814,252.05	-\$326,850.88	\$865,193.51	\$192,265.22	\$499,889.58
	12	12/31/2009	237	61,560	\$20,146,036	\$16,833,485	\$4,106,259	\$5,392,668	\$899,219	\$3,697,968	\$2,547,352	84%	\$106,648.36	\$409,856.83	\$1,901,019.18	-\$342,482.62	\$906,571.64	\$201,460.36	\$523,796.95

<u>Prospective Information</u>
Trend Factors for Projection Purposes (Annualized)

CY 2011 PRICING TREND BY COMPONENT:

	Notes:	Inpatient	Outpatient	Primary <u>Care</u>	Other <u>M/S</u>	Actual Total <u>Medical</u>	Actual Retail <u>Pharmacy</u>	Weighted Aggregate
Total Pricing Trend	[7]	10.9%	13.5%	10.8%	10.8%	11.8%	10.5%	11.6%
Price Only	[1]	8.4%	6.8%	4.7%	4.7%	6.5%	5.4%	6.4%
Utilization	[2]	-1.2%	3.3%	1.6%	1.6%	1.5%	1.4%	1.5%
Mix of Services	[3], [6]	2.2%	0.9%	2.4%	2.4%	1.7%	1.2%	1.6%
Demographic Change	[4]	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benefit Leveraging	[5]	1.3%	1.9%	1.7%	1.7%	1.7%	2.2%	1.7%
Sub-Total Claims Trend		10.9%	13.5%	10.8%	10.8%	11.8%	10.5%	11.6%

### Proposed pricing trends are intended to be effective January 2011.

- Represents core unit pricing increases, exclusive of service mix / intenisty of services impact;
   Includes impact expected due to Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act pharmaceutical industry assessment.
   Represents core utilization only, exclusive of demographic change impact; includes expected impact of changes in business day content.
- Represents expected changes in intensity of services provided.
- Represents trend impact of age and gender changes; No provision included for Small Group business (age/gender community rating variable).
- [5] Impact of member cost-share leveraging on net claims cost trend.
   [6] Small Group trend includes expected impact of Federal Mental Health Parity and expected impact of Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.
- Pricing models do not distinguish between Primary and Specialty medical care; same trends shown for both.

### The following items for the period to which the rate filing applies, by quarter: United HealthCare of New England

				Expected	Expected	Cost	Other Claim	General	Average	Investment	
		Beginning	Average %	Medical Loss	Contribution	Containment	Adjustment	Administrative	Commissions	Income Credit	
	Quarter	Date	Rate Increase	Ratio++	to Reserves	Expense %*	Expense%*	Expense%*	<u>%*</u>	%	Taxes
Г	1	1/1/2011	13.9%	85.4%	-1.3%	0.7%	2.0%	9.3%	3.5%	-1.6%	2.0%
Г	2	4/1/2011	17.1%	85.4%	-1.3%	0.7%	2.0%	9.3%	3.5%	-1.6%	2.0%
Г	3	7/1/2011	15.3%	85.4%	-1.3%	0.7%	2.0%	9.3%	3.5%	-1.6%	2.0%
	4	10/1/2011	15.8%	85.4%	-1.3%	0.7%	2.0%	9.3%	3.5%	-1.6%	2.0%

### United HealthCare Insurance Company

			Lxpecieu	Lxpecteu	COST	Other Claim	General	Average	IIIVESTIIIEIIL	
	Beginning	Average %	Medical Loss	Contribution	Containment	Adjustment	Administrative	Commissions	Income Credit	
Quarter	Date	Rate Increase	Ratio++	to Reserves	Expense %*	Expense%*	Expense%*	<u>%*</u>	<u>%</u>	Taxes
1	1/1/2011	13.9%	85.4%	3.1%	0.3%	0.9%	4.5%	3.5%	-1.2%	3.5%
2	4/1/2011	17.1%	85.4%	3.1%	0.3%	0.9%	4.5%	3.5%	-1.2%	3.5%
3	7/1/2011	15.3%	85.4%	3.1%	0.3%	0.9%	4.5%	3.5%	-1.2%	3.5%
4	10/1/2011	15.8%	85.4%	3.1%	0.3%	0.9%	4.5%	3.5%	-1.2%	3.5%

⁺⁺ Please note target loss ratio is 82.7%, expected medical loss ratio above reflects lower than needed base rate increase on 1/1/2011.

^{*}Days per 1000 members.

**The premium is based on the final renewal premium summed for each group for the period being reported

^{****}Claims include – paid claims for policies issued in RI for the incurred period with claim reserves (IBNR), capitation payments for capitated arrangements, and other costs associated with affiliated agreements i.e. OPTUM SERVICES

### UnitedHealthcare of New England, Inc. and United HealthCare Insurance Company Large Group Rate Filing - January 1, 2011

### Large Group Rate Filing Template

### **Historical Information**

**Experience Period for Developing Rates** 1/1/2007

Utilization/Experience Data by Quarter (Last 12 available quarters)

								Incurred	Incurred			Cost	Other Claim	<b>General</b>				
			Member	Earned	Incurred	Incurred	Incurred Claims	Claims	Claims Other	Incurred	Loss	Containment	Adjustment	Administrative	Investment		Contribution to	
Quarter	End Date	IP Days*	<b>Months</b>	Premium**	Claims Total***	Claims IP	<u>OP</u>	Primary Care	M/S	Claims Rx	Ratio	Expense*	Expense*	Expense*	Income Credit	Commissions	Reserves	Taxes
1 (oldest)	3/31/2007	266	133,558	\$34,180,795	\$34,421,615	\$8,170,480	\$10,150,490	\$1,936,616	\$8,209,220	\$5,598,373	101%	\$103,984.93	\$693,348.60	\$3,304,361.89	-\$581,073.52	\$1,025,423.85	\$913,956.91	\$818,989.57
2	6/30/2007	274	131,584	\$40,733,599	\$34,706,587	\$7,735,355	\$10,819,824	\$1,889,596	\$8,409,668	\$5,482,578	85%	\$123,919.89	\$826,270.54	\$3,937,841.48	-\$692,471.19	\$1,222,007.98	\$1,089,171.69	\$975,998.15
3	9/30/2007	269	121,199	\$38,476,679	\$33,229,195	\$8,292,578	\$10,474,396	\$1,649,618	\$7,359,714	\$5,021,645	86%	\$117,053.88	\$780,489.49	\$3,719,658.08	-\$654,103.54	\$1,154,300.36	\$1,028,824.12	\$921,921.17
4	12/31/2007	306	117,992	\$37,404,031	\$33,530,913	\$8,169,573	\$10,913,790	\$1,669,253	\$7,346,559	\$5,075,189	90%	\$113,790.67	\$758,731.12	\$3,615,961.98	-\$635,868.53	\$1,122,120.94	\$1,000,142.71	\$896,219.98
5	3/31/2008	281	133,169	\$44,317,234	\$38,180,497	\$9,276,637	\$12,388,801	\$2,006,615	\$8,588,308	\$5,589,713	86%	\$56,648.81	\$904,117.61	\$4,357,301.62	-\$753,392.97	\$1,329,517.01	\$1,184,994.14	\$1,061,863.89
6	6/30/2008	269	147,830	\$49,123,588	\$43,322,004	\$10,491,148	\$14,023,750	\$2,099,009	\$9,771,921	\$6,440,856	88%	\$62,792.56	\$1,002,172.23	\$4,829,865.75	-\$835,100.99	\$1,473,707.64	\$1,313,510.77	\$1,177,026.63
7	9/30/2008	261	142,886	\$47,762,928	\$40,582,310	\$9,033,637	\$13,428,741	\$1,997,779	\$9,283,642	\$6,328,724	85%	\$61,053.29	\$974,413.36	\$4,696,084.74	-\$811,969.78	\$1,432,887.85	\$1,277,128.23	\$1,144,424.52
8	12/31/2008	245	138,826	\$46,794,997	\$40,614,826	\$8,860,309	\$13,897,808	\$2,095,871	\$9,052,628	\$6,367,765	87%	\$59,816.02	\$954,666.56	\$4,600,917.06	-\$795,514.95	\$1,403,849.91	\$467,949.97	\$1,044,803.45
9	3/31/2009	284	126,428	\$42,657,302	\$34,268,947	\$8,205,619	\$10,987,949	\$1,877,017	\$7,509,277	\$5,329,092	80%	\$225,817.69	\$867,832.56	\$4,025,225.98	-\$725,174.13	\$1,279,719.06	\$426,573.02	\$1,109,089.85
10	6/30/2009	255	115,349	\$39,215,972	\$32,652,346	\$7,257,346	\$11,058,096	\$1,700,574	\$7,288,303	\$5,007,623	83%	\$207,600.10	\$797,821.14	\$3,700,495.38	-\$666,671.52	\$1,176,479.16	\$392,159.72	\$1,019,615.27
11	9/30/2009	265	114,718	\$39,122,403	\$33,176,926	\$8,171,039	\$10,588,895	\$1,738,929	\$7,377,369	\$5,009,809	85%	\$207,104.76	\$795,917.54	\$3,691,666.01	-\$665,080.84	\$1,173,672.08	\$391,224.03	\$1,017,182.47
12	12/31/2009	254	114,306	\$39,152,469	\$33,313,077	\$7,795,126	\$10,668,369	\$1,820,898	\$7,478,973	\$5,348,086	85%	\$207,263.93	\$796,529.22	\$3,694,503.13	-\$665,591.97	\$1,174,574.07	\$391,524.69	\$1,017,964.19

^{*}Days per 1000 members.

### <u>Prospective Information</u> Trend Factors for Projection Purposes (Annualized)

CY 2011 PRICING TREND BY COMPONENT:

	Notes:	Inpatient	Outpatient	Primary <u>Care</u>	Other M/S	Total Medical	Retail Pharmacy	Weighted Aggregate
Total Pricing Trend	[7]	12.2%	14.8%	12.1%	12.1%	13.1%	11.4%	12.9%
Price Only	[1]	8.4%	6.8%	4.7%	4.7%	6.5%	5.4%	6.4%
Utilization	[2]	-1.2%	3.3%	1.6%	1.6%	1.5%	1.4%	1.5%
Mix of Services	[3], [6]	2.7%	1.4%	2.9%	2.9%	2.2%	1.2%	2.1%
Demographic Change	[4]	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%
Benefit Leveraging	[5]	1.0%	1.6%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	2.0%	1.4%
Sub-Total Claims Trend		12.2%	14.8%	12.1%	12.1%	13.1%	11.4%	12.9%

### Proposed pricing trends are intended to be effective January 2011

### Notes:

- [1] Represents core unit pricing increases, exclusive of service mix / intenisty of services impact; Includes impact expected due to Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act pharmaceutical industry assessment.
- [2] Represents core utilization only, exclusive of demographic change impacts; includes expected impact of changes in business day content.
- [3] Represents expected changes in intensity of services provided.
- Represents trend impact of age and gender changes; No provision included for Small Group business (age/gender community rating variable).
- [5] Impact of member cost-share leveraging on net claims cost trend.
- [6] Large Group trend includes expected impact of Federal Mental Health Parity
- and expected impact of Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.
- [7] Pricing models do not distinguish between Primary and Specialty medical care; same trends shown for both.

The following items for the period to which the rate filing applies, by quarter:

### United HealthCare of New England

			Average %	Expected	Expected	Cost	Other Claim	General	Average	Investment	
		Beginning	Manual Rate	Medical Loss	Contribution to	Containment	Adjustment	Administrative	Commissions	Income Credit	
	Quarter	Date	Increase	Ratio	Reserves	Expense %*	Expense%*	Expense%*	<u>%*</u>	<u>%</u>	Taxes
Γ	1	1/1/2011	7.8%	83.0%	1.0%	0.5%	2.0%	9.5%	3.2%	-1.6%	2.4%
	2	4/1/2011	11.1%	83.0%	1.0%	0.5%	2.0%	9.5%	3.2%	-1.6%	2.4%
Г	3	7/1/2011	13.6%	83.0%	1.0%	0.5%	2.0%	9.5%	3.2%	-1.6%	2.4%
	4	10/1/2011	14.4%	83.0%	1.0%	0.5%	2.0%	9.5%	3.2%	-1.6%	2.4%

### United HealthCare Insurance Company

	-	Average %	Expected	Expected	Cost	Other Claim	General	Average	Investment	
	Beginning	Manual Rate	Medical Loss	Contribution to	Containment	Adjustment	Administrative	Commissions	Income Credit	
Quarter	<u>Date</u>	Increase	Ratio	Reserves	Expense %*	Expense%*	Expense%*	<u>%*</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Taxes</u>
1	1/1/2011	7.8%	83.0%	5.0%	0.2%	0.9%	4.6%	3.2%	-1.2%	4.3%
2	4/1/2011	11.1%	83.0%	5.0%	0.2%	0.9%	4.6%	3.2%	-1.2%	4.3%
3	7/1/2011	13.6%	83.0%	5.0%	0.2%	0.9%	4.6%	3.2%	-1.2%	4.3%
4	10/1/2011	14.4%	83.0%	5.0%	0.2%	0.9%	4.6%	3.2%	-1.2%	4.3%

^{**}The premium is based on the final renewal premium summed for each group for the period being reported

^{***}Claims include – paid claims for policies issued in RI for the incurred period with claim reserves ( IBNR ), capitation payments for capitated arrangements, and other costs associated with affiliated agreements i.e. OPTUM SERVICES



May 17, 2010

Adrienne-jo F. Evans FLMI, AIE, AIRC Health Insurance Analyst Insurance Division Department of Business Regulation 223 Richmond Street, Suite 223 Providence, RI 02903-4233

Re: Annual Small and Large Employer Rate Factor Filings – January 1, 2011 UnitedHealthcare of New England, Inc. and United HealthCare Insurance Company

Dear Ms. Evans,

The purpose of this letter is to provide you with the Annual Small and Large Employer Rate Factor Filings as required by the Section 12 of Regulation 11, the Small Employer Health Insurance Availability Regulation.

The following documents are included in the filing:

- Rate factor template for large group and small employer groups.
- Small group rate manual.
- Explanation of medical trend development process.
- Completed Provider Plan Contracting Survey.
- Completed Resources for Health Systems Improvement Survey.
- Completed Administrative Costs Survey.

A filing fee of \$100 will be submitted by an electronic funds transfer transaction via SERFF.

Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me at (203) 459-6424.

Sincerely,

Elvira Tananykin

Stanangkin

Assistant Director of Actuarial Pricing

Northeast Region

UnitedHealthcare

### UnitedHealthcare of New England, Inc. and United HealthCare Insurance Company Small and Large Group Trend Filings – January 1, 2011

United Healthcare Medical Expense Forecasting Process Overview & Considerations

United develops forward-looking medical expense estimates based on a number of considerations. In general, recent/emerging claims experience is reviewed at the market level for several broad medical expense categories (inpatient, professional, pharmacy, etc.), with utilization, unit cost, benefit leveraging, and business mix identified for each category. Future trends are developed based on a projection of each component.

Utilization rates by category are measured and projected net of business mix (employer mix, benefit mix, demographic mix, etc.). Forward looking utilization levels are developed based on emerging market level data, supplemented by regional and/or national level utilization data. Macro-economic data is often used to develop assumptions regarding directional changes in national health care consumption rates.

Market-level unit cost projections are developed based on evaluations of current and anticipated provider contract economics, as well as consideration to both current and expected changes in non-contracted provider cost exposure. Unit cost projections also consider the estimated cost impact of new technologies, service availability/mandates, or other factors that might influence mix of procedures.

In addition, market-level healthcare affordability activities that are expected to impact forward-looking medical costs are recognized. Depending on the nature of individual initiatives, the impact may be recognized in one or more of the component cost items discussed above. Only incremental activities are recognized for this purpose in the expected trend impact for any particular period.

Business mix changes that influence medical cost trends are also reviewed and projected, with appropriate input from sales and underwriting staff. These factors include changing mix of employer groups, mix of benefits, and demographic changes. For the purposes of developing premium pricing trend projections, the component of trend attributable to business mix is excluded.

To: John Cogan

From: Phil Anderson Date: May 17, 2010

**Re:** UHCNE Small and Large Group Rate Factor Filings: Administrative Costs

1. Below is a spreadsheet detailing the UHCNE 2010 approved and 2011 requested small and large group administrative costs pmpm, allocated among the NAIC-approved administrative cost categories. 2010 is listed for the full year since there were no changes to administrative costs for the year.

Fully Insured Commercial Administrat	tive Cost History									
	2010	2010		2011		2011	% (	Change	%	Change
	SG pmpm	LG pmpm	SC	3 pmpm	L	G pmpm		SG		LG
Total Estimated MM's	61,736	165,172		61,736		165,172		-		-
Total Estimated Premium (PMPM)	358.49	359.39	\$	394.34	\$	395.33	\$	35.85	\$	35.94
Total General Admin Expense (PMPM)	54.69	52.24	\$	58.01	\$	58.26	\$	3.32	\$	6.02
Total Cost Containment (PMPM)	2.68	1.62	\$	2.95	\$	1.78	\$	0.27	\$	0.16
Total Other Claim Adjustment Expense	7.30	7.30	\$	8.03	\$	8.03	\$	0.73	\$	0.73
Breakdown of administrative costs (\$										
a. Payroll and benefits	12.15	12.14	\$	12.87	\$	13.36	\$	0.72	\$	1.22
b. Outsourced Services							\$	-	\$	-
(EDP, claims etc.)	10.44	10.99	\$	11.65	\$	12.09	\$	1.21	\$	1.10
c. Auditing and consulting	1.99	1.99	\$	2.11	\$	2.19	\$	0.12	\$	0.20
d. Commissions	14.35	10.78	\$	13.80	\$	12.65	\$	(0.55)	\$	1.87
e. Marketing and Advertising	0.99	0.99	\$	1.05	\$	1.09	\$	0.06	\$	0.10
f. Legal Expenses	0.13	0.12	\$	0.12	\$	0.13	\$	(0.00)	\$	0.01
g. Taxes, Licenses and Fees	7.17	7.19	\$	7.89	\$	7.91	\$	0.72	\$	0.72
h. Reimbursements by Uninsured Plans	0.00	0.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
i. Other Admin Expenses	7.48	8.04	\$	8.52	\$	8.84	\$	1.04	\$	0.80

^{2.} Below is a spreadsheet detailing actual calendar year 2005-2009 <u>fully insured commercial administrative costs</u>. This is consistent with the UHCNE annual statement filings to OHIC for administrative costs on a prequota share basis. Administrative cost components used are the categories defined by NAIC and are allocated to commercially insured business only. The information provided does not agree with the "Exhibit of Premiums, Enrollment and Utilization" and the "Analysis of Operations by Line of Business" schedules included in the Annual Statements on file with OHIC since that is on a net of quota share agreement. The information below does agree to the supplemental spreadsheet supplied to OHIC as part of the annual statutory filing of UHCNE.

	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Total Fully Insured Member Months	987,902	799,482	677,015	532,154	344,330
Total Premiums	286,260,853	251,326,900	218,459,198	181,049,532	120,002,265
Total General Administrative Expense	40,407,210	34,733,139	31,171,890	25,694,191	17,411,554
Total Cost Containment Expense	-	690,295	664,597	231,428	635,264
Total Other Claims Adjustment Expense	-	5,322,272	4,431,388	3,693,599	2,441,361
Total Administrative Expense	40,407,210	40,745,706	36,267,876	29,619,217	20,488,179
Total Admin Exp. Ratio	14.12%	16.21%	16.60%	16.36%	17.07%
Total Administrative Expense (\$pmpm)	40.90	50.97	53.57	55.66	59.50
Brea	akdown of admir	istrative costs	(\$ pmpm)		
a. Payroll and benefits	17.62	21.36	18.91	19.96	12.14
b. Outsourced Services (EDP, claims etc.)	1.21	1.47	0.15	0.02	10.99
c. Auditing and consulting	0.90	0.61	2.08	3.09	1.99
d. Commissions	8.67	8.25	8.17	8.92	8.56
e. Marketing and Advertising	2.32	1.31	3.78	1.33	0.99
f. Legal Expenses	(0.18)	0.06	0.21	0.13	0.12
g. Taxes, Licenses and Fees	2.84	3.42	5.58	5.74	7.76
h. Reimbursements by Uninsured Plans	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
i. Other Admin Expenses	7.53	6.97	7.18	9.10	8.04
Cost Containment Expense	0.00	0.86	0.98	0.43	1.84
Other Claims Adjustment Expense	0.00	6.66	6.55	6.94	7.09
Total Medical Self Insured Member months for all affiliated companies doing business in RI independent of license (MM's residing in the state of RI regardless of employer location)	1,006,561	1,173,628	1,136,423	1,130,328	1,045,239

^{*}Note: Methodology changes occurred within the 2009 process after further review of payroll vs. outsourced expenses

- 3. Below are the responses to the questions asked by OHIC's Health Insurance Advisory Council:
  - In general and net of new taxes and fees, why should the rate of increase in Health Plan administrative costs exceed the general inflation rate?

There is a 12% management fee agreement and a 2% premium tax, therefore the administrative costs will increase at the same rate as premium.

• What percentage of administrative costs does your organization consider fixed for the next five years? Provide detail by expense categories.

The membership in Rhode Island is serviced on a national platform and is a small percentage of the total national membership. Costs vary in proportion to premiums. Most of the Rhode Island expenses are considered to be variable to the Rhode Island business.

• What administrative services are used by fully insured members that are not used by self-insured clients (e.g. broker commissions) and what are the estimated total costs (\$pmpm) for those services?

Taxes, brokers and assessments are commonly only fully insured. In addition a number of services are at the discretion of the ASO customers (i.e. pharmacy, Nurseline, medical management, and Optum services). The benefit selection varies with each ASO customer and can't be quantified.

• What does your plan use as its pmpm benchmarks or price points for commercial insurance administrative costs and why?

UHCNE is under a management fee agreement and expenses are recorded based on these terms.

Please contact Phil Anderson with any questions.
Sincerely,
Phil Anderson

To: John Cogan From: Phil Anderson Date: May 17, 2010

Re: Resources for Health System Improvements - Survey

OHIC Regulation Two lists standards to be used by the Health Insurance Commissioner for the assessment of the conduct of Health Plans for their efforts aimed at Improving the Efficiency and Quality of Health Care Delivery and Increasing Access to Health Care Services. The standards include the following plan activities:

- 1. Directing resources, including financial contributions, toward system-wide improvements in the state's health care system related to quality, access and efficiency, including providing support to local collaboratives, organizations and initiatives that promote quality, access and efficiency.
- 2. Participating in the development and implementation of public policy issues related to health.

Below summarizes UHC's contributions of finances and other efforts in Rhode Island in calendar year 2009:

System-wide improvement activity	Brief description of activity	Value of 2009 Plan contributions
Chronic care sustainability initiative	All payer state initiative for primary docs to support advanced medical home	\$255,972, National team input, local employee participation in steering group and technical assistance
ICU Collaborative	Collaborative to improve ICU care in local hospitals	\$130,500
EMR	Bonus program paid to primary care physicians as well as community health centers	\$198,000
RI Free Clinic	General support of operations	\$20,000
RI HIE	Collaborative to build a state wide HIE	Staff resources, input including national team presence, \$285,000
RIQI	Payer, Provider, Public Sector, Business Collaborative to	CEO on board and finance committee, \$70,000

System-wide improvement activity	Brief description of activity	Value of 2009 Plan contributions
	Improve Quality of health care in RI. Focus on Health Information	
RI Task Force on Prematurity	Women and Infants Foundation – Emergency Contraception Pamphlets	Executive director participation on premium task force, \$1,000
March of Dimes Quality Partners	Support for improving the health of premature babies  Efforts to promote healthcare	\$17,500 Medical director sits on
RI Medical Society	improvement Support for physician rehabilitation program	the board \$5,000
RI Kids Count/Covering Kids in RI	Coalition to maintain/expand health insurance coverage for kids	Members of advisory council, \$4,500
Women & Infants Latina Conference	Conference to increase health awareness for Latina women	\$750
Physician Practice Rewards Program	Program provides monetary incentives to physicians who meet benchmark quality and efficiency standards	\$82,000
Rhode Island Parent Information Network	RIPIN provides support and advocacy for families with children with special health care needs. Optum (UBH) and Americhoice have supported peer navigators	\$1,375
Rhode Island Taskforce on Premature Births:	Statewide taskforce to develop strategies to reduce premature births. Americhoice supported professional training (brochure on Plan B) in 2008 and annual meeting in 2009	\$1,000
Women's Health Council	State-wide committee coordinating women's health improvement projects	Staff participation (2) in quarterly meetings
Chlamydia screening	Lead coordination of improvement project across State agencies and health plans.	Staff time
Generics First	DHS-led initiative to increase the use of generic medications for Rite Care members.	\$2 M (gap between premium reduction and reduction in pharmacy

System-wide improvement activity	Brief description of activity	Value of 2009 Plan contributions
		expense)
Department of Health Medical Directors' meeting	Quarterly briefings on public health issues	CMO participation

## OHIC - April 2010

Large and Small Group Rate Factor Review Survey: Provider Contracting Practices.

### Background

Priorities for Commercial Health Insurers in Rhode Island: The Health Insurance Advisory Council of the Office of the Health Insurance Commissioner has promulgated Affordability

produce savings thereafter. Specific areas of focus in support of this goal are as follows: beginning with primary care. Achievement of this goal will not add to overall medical spend in the short-term, and is expected to Health plans will improve the affordability of health care in Rhode Island by focusing their efforts upon provider payment reform,

- Expand and improve the primary care infrastructure in the state -- with limitations on ability to pass on cost in premiums
- Spread Adoption of the "Chronic Care Model" Medical Home
- 3. Standardize electronic medical record (EMR) incentives
- 4. Work toward comprehensive payment reform across the delivery system

reform implementation will be assessed. Results will be tabulated and published in an aggregated report. results. This survey seeks to assist insurers in this work by identifying a base line in Rhode Island against which provider payment improved population health and improved medical care quality and efficiency - and promoting the activities that produce those Provider Payment Reform efforts are proposed by experts to address weaknesses in the current payment mechanisms by promoting

### Directions:

- Please fill out all parts of survey.
- or confidential. As no providers are identified and no financial details are solicited, none of this information will be considered proprietary
- w. Please contact the Office of the Health Insurance Commissioner with any questions

## Part 1. Hospital Inpatient Services

- To be filled out for each general service (no specialty care, no rehab) institution with whom you contract in the state.
- Institution means hospital system if all contractual terms other than \$ amounts apply identically across all inpatient facilities in the contract.
- Incentives refer to activities or measures resulting in additional payments by the insurer.

2	-	Institution/ System
2	N	Duration of Current Contract from inception (years)
DRGx_Per Diem% of Charges Bundled Services Capitation or other budgetingx_Others (please specify) Case Rates for selected services	X_Per Diem% of Charges Bundled Services Capitation or other budgetingOthers (please specify)	Unit of Payment for Services (check all that apply)
z	Z	Does Contract have provision for additional outlier payments and/or severity adjusters (y/n) and any comments
N  If yes - %of total payments for inpatient services in CY 2009 spent on quality incentive payments	If yes - %of total payments for inpatient services in CY 2009 spent on quality incentive payments. ²	Are there Quality or Customer Service Incentives in Contract
admission reductions day reductions Others (please specify)	admission reductions day reductions Others (please specify)	Utilization Incentives in Contract: (check all that apply)
Z	Z	Does contract have provision for additional payments to attain revenue targets(y/n) and any comments?
		Comments

¹ Examples include supplemental payments beyond base fees for Bridges to Excellence Measure, Medicare Compare measures, HIT adoption, or customer satisfaction

² % for Incentive Payments plus % for Services = 100%. Incentive payments not specified for inpatient or outpatient should be classified as inpatient.

OHIC - April 2010 Survey: Provider Contracting Practices (cont'd)

5	4	ယ	Institution/ System
4	2	4	Duration of Current Contract from inception (years)
_x_DRGPer Diem% of ChargesBundled ServicesCapitation or other budgetingOthers (please	DRG _x_Per Diem _% of Charges Bundled Services Capitation or other budgeting Others (please specify)		Unit of Payment for Services (check all that apply)
z	z	z	Does Contract have provision for additional outlier payments and/or severity adjusters (y/n) and any comments
N  If yes - %of total payments for inpatient services in CY 2009 spent on quality incentive payments.	If yes - %of total payments for inpatient services in CY 2009 spent on quality incentive payments.	N  If yes - %of total payments for inpatient services in CY 2009 spent on quality incentive payments.	Are there Quality or Customer Service Incentives in Contract (yIn)1?
admission reductions day reductions Others (please specify)	admission reductions day reductions Others (please specify)	admission reductions day reductions Others (please specify)	Utilization Incentives in Contract: (check all that apply)
z	Z	Z	Does contract have provision for additional payments to attain revenue targets(y/n) and any comments?
			Comments

OHIC - April 2010 Survey: Provider Contracting Practices (cont'd)

∞	7	6	Institution/ System
ယ	2	2	Duration of Current Contract from inception (years)
DRG _x_Per Diem% of ChargesBundled ServicesCapitation or other budgeting	DRGx_Per Diem% of Charges Bundled Services Capitation or other budgeting _x_Others (please specify) case rates for selected services	_x_DRGPer Diem% of Charges Bundled Services Capitation or other budgetingOthers (please specify)	Unit of Payment for Services (check all that apply) specify)
z	z	z	Does Contract have provision for additional outlier payments and/or severity adjusters (y/n) and any comments
If yes - %of total payments for inpatient services in CY 2009 spent on quality incentive payments.	If yes - %of total payments for inpatient services in CY 2009 spent on quality incentive payments.	N  If yes - %of total payments for inpatient services in CY 2009 spent on quality incentive payments	Are there Quality or Customer Service Incentives in Contract (y/n)¹?
admission reductions day reductions Others (please specify)	admission reductions day reductions Others (please specify)	admission reductions day reductions Others (please specify)	Utilization Incentives in Contract: (check all that apply)
z	Z	Z	Does contract have provision for additional payments to attain revenue targets(y/n) and any comments?
			Comments

OHIC - April 2010 Survey: Provider Contracting Practices (cont'd)

	T	T		1
1	10	9		Institution/ System
				Duration of Current Contract from inception (years)
Per Diem	DRGPer Diem% of ChargesBundled ServicesCapitation or other budgetingOthers (please specify)	DRGPer Diem% of Charges Bundled Services Capitation or other budgetingOthers (please specify)	_x_Others (please specify) Case Rates for selected services	Unit of Payment for Services (check all that apply)
				Does Contract have provision for additional outlier payments and/or severity adjusters (y/n) and any comments
If yes - %of total payments for inpatient services in CY 2009	If yes - %of total payments for inpatient services in CY 2009 spent on quality incentive payments	If yes - %of total payments for inpatient services in CY 2009 spent on quality incentive payments.		Are there Quality or Customer Service Incentives in Contract
admission reductions day reductions Others (please specify)	admission reductions day reductions Others (please specify)	admission reductions day reductions Others (please specify)		Utilization Incentives in Contract: (check all
			ary commons :	Does contract have provision for additional payments to attain revenue targets(y/n) and
			Comments	

12	Institution/ System
	Duration of Current Contract from inception (years)
DRGPer Diem% of Charges Bundled Services Capitation or other budgetingOthers (please specify)	Unit of Payment for Services (check all that apply) other budgeting Others (please specify)
	Does Contract have provision for additional outlier payments and/or severity adjusters (y/n) and any comments
If yes - %of total payments for inpatient services in CY 2009 spent on quality incentive payments:	Are there Quality or Customer Service Incentives in Contract (y/n)¹? spent on quality incentive payments.
admission reductions day reductions Others (please specify)	Utilization Incentives in Contract: (check all that apply)
	Does contract have provision for additional payments to attain revenue targets(y/n) and any comments?
	Comments

Additional Questions for Hospital Inpatient Services

List
he five
e mos
t comi
non a
on areas of qu
<u>a</u>
and
ity and service ince
incentive
ives i
s in your co
company
's inpatient
contracts:
n/a

< ₹ ≣ ≡ = =

5 Percent of total payments to RI Hospitals for inpatient services in CY 2009 spent on quality incentive payments.

Percent of total payments to RI Hospitals for inpatient services in CY 2009 paid through units of service based on efficient resource use (i.e DRG, Capitation, Bundled Service or partial/global budgeting): _____13%_____

## Part 2. Hospital Outpatient Services

- means hospital system if all contractual terms other than dollar amounts apply identically across all inpatient facilities in the To be filled out for each general service (no specialty care, no rehab) institution with whom you contract in the state. Institution
- Outpatient Services include any services not involving an admission and covered under the contract with the institution.

_Other (please specify)	2 _x_Procedure-based methodology – using plan, provider or industry codingAPC Code	_x_Procedi plan, provic _APC Co _Other (pl	Institution/ Unit of Payment for Outpatient Services System (check all that apply)
payments. 5		N If yes inpatic spent payme	Are there Quality or vices Customer Service Incentives in Contract (v/n)37
	Visit/Volume Reduction Others (please specify)	Visit/Volume Reduction Others (please specify)	Utilization Incentives in Contract: (check all that
		Comments	

³ Examples include supplemental payments beyond base fees for structural changes such as HIT, process measures or customer satisfaction.

 ^{4 %} for Incentive Payments plus % for Services = 100%. Incentive payments not specified for inpatient or outpatient should be classified as inpatient.
 5 % for Incentive Payments plus % for Services = 100%. Incentive payments not specified for inpatient or outpatient should be classified as inpatient.
 6 % for Incentive Payments plus % for Services = 100%. Incentive payments not specified for inpatient or outpatient should be classified as inpatient.

Survey: Provider Contracting Practices (cont'd) OHIC - April 2010

Visit/Volume Reduction Others (please specify)
Visit/Volume Reduction Others (please specify)
Visit/Volume Reduction Others (please specify)
Visit/Volume Reduction Others (please specify)
Utilization Incentives in Contract: (check all that apply)

 ^{7 %} for Incentive Payments plus % for Services = 100%. Incentive payments not specified for inpatient or outpatient should be classified as inpatient.
 8 % for Incentive Payments plus % for Services = 100%. Incentive payments not specified for inpatient or outpatient should be classified as inpatient.
 9 % for Incentive Payments plus % for Services = 100%. Incentive payments not specified for inpatient or outpatient should be classified as inpatient. 10 % for Incentive Payments plus % for Services = 100%. Incentive payments not specified for inpatient or outpatient should be classified as inpatient.

11 % for Incentive Payments plus % for Services = 100%. Incentive payments not specified for inpatient or outpatient should be classified as inpatient.

12	12	11	10	9	Institution/ System
Procedure-based methodology – using plan, provider or industry coding  _APC Code _Other (please specify)	Procedure-based methodology – using plan, provider or industry coding  _APC Code _Other (please specify)	Procedure-based methodology – using plan, provider or industry coding  _APC Code _Other (please specify)	Procedure-based methodology – using plan, provider or industry coding  _APC Code _Other (please specify)	Procedure-based methodology – using plan, provider or industry coding  _APC Code _Other (please specify)	Unit of Payment for Outpatient Services (check all that apply)
If yes - %of total payments for inpatient services in CY 2009 spent on quality incentive payments.	If yes - %of total payments for inpatient services in CY 2009 spent on quality incentive payments.	If yes - %of total payments for inpatient services in CY 2009 spent on quality incentive payments.	If yes - %of total payments for inpatient services in CY 2009 spent on quality incentive payments.	If yes - %of total payments for inpatient services in CY 2009 spent on quality incentive payments.	Are there Quality or Customer Service Incentives in Contract (y/n) ³ ?
Visit/Volume Reduction Others (please specify)	Utilization Incentives in Contract: (check all that apply)				
					Comments

Additional Questions for Hospital Outpatient Services

1. List the five most common areas of quality and service incentives in your company's hospital outpatient contracts: n/a

<	<	<b>≡</b> i	=:	-

- 2 Percent of total payments to RI Hospitals for outpatient services in CY 2009 spent on quality incentive payments.
- Percent of total payments to RI Hospitals for inpatient services in CY 2009 paid through units of service based on efficient resource use (i.e APC, Bundled Services or partial/global budgeting):

## Part 3: Professional Groups

- "Professional Groups" is defined as non institutional/non facility groups with a valid contract and a single tax id number.
- Please provide for the top 10 groups (measured by \$ paid in 2009).

Group	Specialty Type	Unit of Payment for Services (check all that	Are there Quality or Customer Service Incentives	Utilization Incentives in Contract:	
		apply)	in Contract (y/n)12?	(check all that apply)	Comments
_	Orthopedics	x Procedure-based	Z	Visit/Volume Reduction	
		methodology – using CPT,	If yes - %of total payments for	_use of ancillary/referred services	
		plan, provider or other	inpatient services in CY 2009	use of diagnostic tests	
		coding	spent on quality incentive	over all efficiency of care	
		APC Code	payments. 13	use of pharmacy services	
		Full/ Partial Capitation		Others (please specify)	
		_Other (please specify)			

^{13 %} for Incentive Payments plus % for Services = 100%. Incentive payments not specified for inpatient or outpatient should be classified as inpatient. 12 Examples include supplemental payments beyond base fees for EMR adoption, structural changes, accreditation, process measures or patient satisfaction.

Survey: Provider Contracting Practices (cont'd) OHIC - April 2010

	5 Inte	4 Ge	3		
	Internal Medicine	General Surgery	Obstetrics/Gynecology	Primary Care	
methodology – using CPT,	x Procedure-based	x_Procedure-based methodology – using CPT, plan, provider or other coding APC CodeFull/ Partial CapitationOther (please specify)	xProcedure-based methodology – using CPT, plan, provider or other codingAPC CodeFull/ Partial CapitationOther (please specify)	x_Procedure-based methodology – using CPT, plan, provider or other codingAPC Code _Full/ Partial Capitation _Other (please specify)	(fields
If yes - %of total payments for	Z	N If yes - %of total payments for inpatient services in CY 2009 spent on quality incentive payments. ¹⁶	If yes - %of total payments for inpatient services in CY 2009 spent on quality incentive payments. ¹⁵	N If yes - %of total payments for inpatient services in CY 2009 spent on quality incentive payments. 14	III Collider (Mil)
use of ancillary/referred services	Visit/Volume Reduction	Visit/Volume Reductionuse of ancillary/referred services use of diagnostic tests over all efficiency of care use of pharmacy services Others (please specify)	Visit/Volume Reductionuse of ancillary/referred services use of diagnostic tests over all efficiency of care use of pharmacy services Others (please specify)	Visit/Volume Reductionuse of ancillary/referred services use of diagnostic tests over all efficiency of care use of pharmacy services Others (please specify)	(clieck all that apply)
					Comments

 ^{14 %} for Incentive Payments plus % for Services = 100%. Incentive payments not specified for inpatient or outpatient should be classified as inpatient.
 15 % for Incentive Payments plus % for Services = 100%. Incentive payments not specified for inpatient or outpatient should be classified as inpatient.
 16 % for Incentive Payments plus % for Services = 100%. Incentive payments not specified for inpatient or outpatient should be classified as inpatient.

Survey: Provider Contracting Practices (cont'd) OHIC - April 2010

∞	7	6		Group
Cardiology	Cardiology	Internal Medicine		Specialty Type
x Procedure-based methodology – using CPT, plan, provider or other	x_Procedure-based methodology – using CPT, plan, provider or other codingAPC Code _Full/ Partial Capitation _Other (please specify)	x_Procedure-based methodology – using CPT, plan, provider or other codingAPC CodeFull/ Partial Capitation _Other (please specify)	plan, provider or other codingAPC CodeFull/ Partial CapitationOther (please specify)	Unit of Payment for Services (check all that apply)
N If yes - %of total payments for inpatient services in CY 2009	N If yes - %of total payments for inpatient services in CY 2009 spent on quality incentive payments. ¹⁹	N  If yes - %of total payments for inpatient services in CY 2009 spent on quality incentive payments. ¹⁸	inpatient services in CY 2009 spent on quality incentive payments. 17	Are there Quality or Customer Service Incentives in Contract (y/n) ¹² ?
Visit/Volume Reductionuse of ancillary/referred servicesuse of diagnostic tests	Visit/Volume Reductionuse of ancillary/referred services use of diagnostic tests over all efficiency of care use of pharmacy services Others (please specify)	Visit/Volume Reductionuse of ancillary/referred services use of diagnostic tests over all efficiency of care use of pharmacy services Others (please specify)	use of diagnostic tests over all efficiency of care use of pharmacy services Others (please specify)	Utilization Incentives in Contract:
				Comments

^{17 %} for Incentive Payments plus % for Services = 100%. Incentive payments not specified for inpatient or outpatient should be classified as inpatient.

18 % for Incentive Payments plus % for Services = 100%. Incentive payments not specified for inpatient or outpatient should be classified as inpatient.

19 % for Incentive Payments plus % for Services = 100%. Incentive payments not specified for inpatient or outpatient should be classified as inpatient.

Survey: Provider Contracting Practices (cont'd) OHIC - April 2010

10	9	Group
Internal Medicine	Hematology/ Oncology	Specialty Type
x_Procedure-based methodology – using CPT, plan, provider or other coding APC CodeFull/ Partial CapitationOther (please specify)	xProcedure-based methodology – using CPT, plan, provider or other codingAPC Code _Full/ Partial Capitation _Other (please specify)	Unit of Payment for Services (check all that apply)  codingAPC Code _Full/ Partial Capitation _Other (please specify)
N If yes - %of total payments for inpatient services in CY 2009 spent on quality incentive payments. ²²	N If yes - %of total payments for inpatient services in CY 2009 spent on quality incentive payments. ²¹	Are there Quality or Customer Service Incentives in Contract (y/n) ¹² ? spent on quality incentive payments. ²⁰
Visit/Volume Reductionuse of ancillary/referred servicesuse of diagnostic testsover all efficiency of careuse of pharmacy servicesOthers (please specify)	Visit/Volume Reductionuse of ancillary/referred services use of diagnostic tests over all efficiency of care use of pharmacy services Others (please specify)	Utilization Incentives in Contract: (check all that apply) over all efficiency of careuse of pharmacy servicesOthers (please specify)
		Comments

 [%] for Incentive Payments plus % for Services = 100%. Incentive payments not specified for inpatient or outpatient should be classified as inpatient.
 % for Incentive Payments plus % for Services = 100%. Incentive payments not specified for inpatient or outpatient should be classified as inpatient.
 % for Incentive Payments plus % for Services = 100%. Incentive payments not specified for inpatient or outpatient should be classified as inpatient.

## Survey: Provider Contracting Practices (cont'd) OHIC - April 2010

	L'aditionidi &	Additional
	CHOHOLIO	Ounctions for
	100000	_
Control of the Control of the Control	COUDS	-

	<del>. `</del>
	List the five most common areas of quality and service incentives in your company's
	st common
	areas o
	of quality
	and se
	id service ii
	ncentive
	S II
	your
	company's
0.	y's professiona
(	nal grou
	p contracts: I
	N

< ≦ ≣ ≡ = =

2 Percent of total payments to these ten professional groups in CY 2009 spent on quality incentive payments. ___ 0%

Percent of total payments to these ten professional groups in CY 2009 paid through units of service based on efficient resource use (i.e APC, Bundled Services or partial/global budgeting): _____0%____

S